| EGE ROCKY FLATS

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT REVIEW/COMMENT RESOLUTION

DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION
REVIEW WAVER PER
CLASSIFICATION OFFICE

No	Comment	J.	Reviewer(s)' Name(s):	Document N
No	Page)' Name(s): _	No. and Title:
Reviewer's Comments			Environmental Protection Agency August	Document No. and Title: Ecology Standard Operating Procedures

30, 1991 Comments

GENERAL COMMENTS

on this issue. by either document. Our specific comments elaborate and 6. It appears that some issues may not be covered Evaluation work plans reviewed for Operable Units 1,2,5, development and the contents of the Environmental plans(FSP). The inadequacies primarily result from SOP 5.13, on the development of field sampling inconsistent statements between the SOP for FSP The Ecology SOPs are generally adequate except for

immediately if a threatened or endangered species is U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service must be contacted must explicitly state that the Colorado State office of the which might be encountered at Rocky Flats and also threatened and endangered, and canidate species The revised ecology SOPs must contain a current list of encountered

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

SOP 5.2, Sampling of Macroinvertebrates: The advantages and limitations of both the Surber and the stream sampler is considered to be inadequate. The Hess samplers should be clearly stated in the text of the response to our original comment on the use of the Hess

See responses to specific comments.

Response

of Species of Special Concern prepared under the Colorado Natural Areas Program. identification and reporting of species listed on the Colorado List encountered. The SOP will also cover procedures for the SerVice be contacted if a T&E or special status species is Rocky Flats Plant is being developed. This SOP will specify that A new SOP containing procedures for documenting and the Colorado Division of Wildlife and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife reporting threatened and endangered or special status species at

shall be based on the sampling objectives, technical limitations of of each sampler in the SOP is not the intended scope for the each type sampler, and stream conditions encountered at the SOP. The SOP will be modified to read, "Selection of a sampler time of sampling" in the SOP. However, to list the advantages and disadvantages Limitations exist for each of the four types of samplers identified

Personnel contacted

ADMIN RECORD

- N EGRB ROCKY FLATS=

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT RESOLUTION

leviewer(s)' Name(s):	leviewer(s): Name(s): U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, August 30, 1991 comments	991 comments
amment Dane	+ 1	
No No	Reviewer's Comments	Response
	2. SOP 5.4, Sampling of Fishes: Our original comment required that if a water displacement method is used for wieghing fish, it should be described. The revised SOP allows for the use of both scales and the water displacement method but still contains no description of the latter.	 The SOP shall be modified to contain procedures for both wieghing methods. This will be implemented in the next revision to the Ecology SOPs.
	•	The SOP
	3. SOP 5.6, Small Mammals: The revised SOP has not addressed our original comment. The sampling grid as described will cover an area of 45 meters by 45 meters not 2500 square meters as indicated in the text. Our original comment stands.	placed with 10 traps in 10 rows, with 5 meters between traps and rows. The surface area being sampled is not a precisely defined area. Small mammals have access to the grid from beyond the grid boundaries in all directions. The SOP will be changed to omit "approximately .25 hectare", thus making no estimation of sampling area.
		4. Bullets 1 and 2 on page 9 shall be rewritten as follows:
	4. SOP 5.7, Sampling of Birds: The text was not revised to address confusion resulting from the list of bullets. Therefore, the original comment remains.	 Bird plots shall be surveyed four times over a four week period with two surveys being conducted during weeks 1 and 2 and two surveys being conducted during weeks 3 and 4.
	Tropolo, no orginal continent feriality.	 Each survey shall be divided into four observations with 2 observations being between 6 and 8 AM and 2 observations being between 8 and 10 AM.
		Also, use of the term "survey" shall be made consistent to refer to the 4 weekly activities identified in bullet 1.

= [] EG&B ROCKY FLATS=

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT REVIEW/COMMENT RESOLUTION

collections disigned more for water and soil samples than ecology samples. The SOP should discuss QA/QC methods to verify biological data and calculations to be used to derive numerical values that will appear in the remedial investigation report.	a. Pages 7 and 8: The bullet list items which the FSP should specifically identify. These include sampling locations, sampling intensity, (sample frequency and sample size), and QA/QC. These topics have not been included in the FSPs prepared thus far other than for aquatic systems. Habitat types, numbers of samples to be collected in each habitat type, and the sample locations should be provided in the FSPs in accordance with the SOP. b. The discussion on QA/QC is based on sample	No Reviewer's Comments	1 (8
conjunction with all water and soil programs are specified in the water and soil sampling SOPs. The ecology FSP SOP only specifies QA/QC samples to be collected in conjuntion with biological sampling for EEs. Hinse blanks and trip blanks were the only types of QA/QC samples considered appropriate for biological sampling singce it is impossible to have such things as a spiked mouse or a duplicate sample of a mouse. QA/QC for biological sampling is commonly based on replicate sampling. cont. on next page	5. a. The topic of FSPs has been discussed in detail among the members of the Risk Assessment Technical Working Group. During review of the EE work plans the necessay FSP details missing from the work plans, such as sampling frequency, were identified. It was agreed upon that without at least a preliminary survey of the study site these details for the FSP could not be written into the work plans. As a solution, it was concluded that future EE work plans would contain the necessary FSP details but that the OU1, OU2, and OU5 work plan FSPs would need to be supplemented with further information following completion of some preliminary field work. The FSP details for OU1 and OU2 are being developed at this time and will be availabe for EPA to see around the end of September, 1991.	Response	<u>Inal, May 1991</u> 0, 1991 comments

#
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$
U
`h-
$ \mathbf{m}$
ניו
~
2
<u> </u>
~
7
- ₹
\Box
\approx
(j
ブ
77
- 14
1
7
5
7
S
- 4

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT REVIEW/COMMENT RESOLUTION

adequacy reached is a QA/QC measure. The level of sampling adequacy reached is a QA/QC measure. The level hoped to be acheived can be set at the start of a program and will vary for the type of sampling being conducted (vegetation, small mammals, etc.). For EEs, measurements of sampling adequecy will serve to caviot the data with a level of certainty similar to other types of QA/QC measures. QA procedures for checking data analysis calculationshave not been established at this time. QA procedures for calculations include such things as, calculation review by a second person prior to reporting.					
Cont. This is being applied for EEs at RFP. Replicate samples (ex: more than one mouse collected from a given sampling location) will allow for the calculation of sampling variance which converts			5 b. cont.		
	Reviewer's Comments	Revie	Page No		No
			Reviewer(s)' Name(s):	wer(s)	Revie
		tle:	Document No. and Title:	nent N	Docur

= || EGxG ROCKY FLATS=

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT REVIEW/COMMENT RESOLUTION

Reviewer(s)' Name(s): Document No. and Title: Ecology Standard Operating Procedures

Comment

U.S. Environemtnal Protection Agency, August 30, 1991 comments

Reviewer's Comments

b. cont.:

QA/QC. The revised SOPs include none of these conducting laboratory studies, and incorporating managing data, preserving and handling samples, being developed for soil microbes, recording and The OU specific work plans state that SOPs are

> will be documented in the QAPJP since it applies the individuals conducting the original calculations. This recalculated and verified by a different individuals than will be the involvement of having all calculations QA/QC for verifying calculations made on biological data follow the protocol in the QAPJP for data validation. b. cont.: QA/QC methods for verifying lab data will

Response

SOPs. It is true that each of these topics does not have c. Several of these topics are covered in the revised topics. The following is the status on these topics: its own SOP but that is not apprpriate for each of these

program-wide.

studies being conducted yet at this time. Sail Microbes - Not yet developed. No soil microbe

be stored in RFEDS has not been finalized yet since added to this SOP. Which type of ecological data will numeric record. much of the data is qualitative information and not a data forms will be kept on file etc.. A field sample tracking form specific for tracking biota samples was specifies that field data will be reported weekly and field on data tracking, data entry, data verification, etc. Recording and Managing Data - EM SOP Vol. I, #1.14 is These procedures apply to ecology field programs. It called Field Data Management and contains information

\boldsymbol{n}
)'-
/,
IJ
₹.
-
M
bl
_
73
U
-
~
AU.
<i>(</i>)
$\mathbf{\mathcal{C}}$
1 1
~
1
_ `
7
.u
1
\sim
בנ
7
7 🖍
U)
- 4

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT RESOLUTION

Document No. and Title:	Ecology Standard Operating Procedures U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, August 30, 1991 comments
Comment Page	Reviewer's Commants
	Comments
c. cont.:	preserv
	into EM SOP Vol. I, #1.13, Containerizing, Preserving, Handling,
	procedures would overlan However it made a fine
	the necessary preservation and handling information for biota
	Conducting Laboratory Studies - As stated in the work plans
	information for determining objectives measurement or determining objectives measurement or determining objectives
	stucties. If the sampling and analysis phase Task 2 of the creations
	provides data to support the need for conducting further
	respiration then appropriate SOPs for these laboratory studies will
	site-specific and can not be predetermined at this time.
	appropriately contained in the FSP SOP Other recognition on biota QC samples is
	QA./QC requirements are specified in the QAPjP and the project
	discussed with the EPA. However, at this time OA/OC compliant
	requirements for EEs will remain in the FSP SOP and not be
	contained in a separate SOP. Any other non-sampling QA/QC

* CYEGRO ROCKY FLATS=

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT REVIEW/COMMENT RESOLUTION

Reviewer(s)' Name(s): Document No. and Title: Ecology Standard Operating Procedures U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, August 30, 1991 comments

Comment

Page

6 should be on the same database. vegetation. All of the data collected during the EEs Macroinvertebrates, periphyton, plankton, and not clear because of the plans to study Benthic at RFP. The reason for limiting the list to vertebrates is Appendix A, Species Code List: The species code list is limited to vertebrate species expected to be encountered Reviewer's Comments

Personnel contacted:

Response

6

initially needed but which become necessary in the future will next revision of the ecology SOPs. Other species codes not be added to the SOP in the future. recording field data. Plant species codes will be added to the species level; therfore, coding will not be applicable for time invertebrates and arthropods will not be identified at the procedures for creating species codes for data recording. At this comprehensive site species list. Its purpose is to set the The species code Appendix A is not meant to serv as the